

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

RECEIVED
U.S. PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
SEARCHED AND SERIALIZED
APR 11 1991
EXAMINER: JAMES R. BROWN
ART UNIT: 3634
PAPER NUMBER: 3634
DATE MAILED: APR 11 1991

APPLICATION NUMBER

FILING DATE

FIRST NAMED APPLICANT

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.

09/187,656 11/13/90 BAPTISTA, MIGUEL R. (BOSTON, MA)
RECEIVED
U.S. PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
SEARCHED AND SERIALIZED
APR 11 1991
EXAMINER: JAMES R. BROWN
ART UNIT: 3634
PAPER NUMBER: 3634
DATE MAILED: APR 11 1991

RECEIVED
U.S. PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
SEARCHED AND SERIALIZED
APR 11 1991
EXAMINER: JAMES R. BROWN
ART UNIT: 3634
PAPER NUMBER: 3634
DATE MAILED: APR 11 1991

RECEIVED
U.S. PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
SEARCHED AND SERIALIZED
APR 11 1991
EXAMINER: JAMES R. BROWN
ART UNIT: 3634
PAPER NUMBER: 3634
DATE MAILED: APR 11 1991

INTERVIEW SUMMARY

RECEIVED
U.S. PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
SEARCHED AND SERIALIZED
APR 11 1991
EXAMINER: JAMES R. BROWN
ART UNIT: 3634
PAPER NUMBER: 3634
DATE MAILED: APR 11 1991

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) Miguel Batista, (3) Pete Cuomo

Date of Interview: 4/11/91

Type: Telephonic Personal (copy is given to applicant applicant's representative)

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: Yes No If yes, brief description:

Agreement was reached. was not reached.

Claim(s) discussed: 1, 15, 27

Identification of prior art discussed: Means, Chen, C. H. F. (1979)

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Will be reconsidered with respect to suggestion to combine claims.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

1. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP, Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

2. Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a completed response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an attachment to another form. This form remains with the examiner. Do not send this form to the attorney or agent.

Form PTOL-413 (REV.1-96)